

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,470	12/26/2000	Tsutomu Yarnada	YKI-0060	3408
7:	590 06/19/2003			
Michael A. Cantor, Esq.			EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN LLP 55 Griffin Road South			RAMSEY, KENNETH J	
Bloomfield, CT	06002	ECEIVE D	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	לטו	ECEIVEM	2879	

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/748,470	YAMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary						
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Kenneth J. Ramsey	2879				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
•	☑ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/748,470

Art Unit: 2879

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Staples (4,013,502).
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mauger (4,966,663).
- 4. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hirano et al 6,215,250 (Hirano). These claims are anticipated by the description of figures 2D and 3, column 7, lines 46-67. The specifics of the mask (not disclosed) used in the deposition of the organic electroluminescent material is not seen to result in different color electroluminescent display structure, in so far as claimed.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirano in view of Mauger (4,966,663). Hirano, column 7, lines 46-67, discloses a method of forming individual color pixels by vapor deposition through a mask which can be moved to a new position or exchanged for the forming of pixels of another color. The specific mask is not described; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ a silicon mask made by the process of Mauger (4,966,663) since the same provides a high degree of accuracy.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hashimoto et al discloses a similar mask manufacturing step.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Kenneth J. Ramsey at telephone number 703-308-2324.

Kenneth J. Ramsey Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879